

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	· ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/588,788	06/06/2000	Heng-Ming Hsu	67,200-262	9280
75	90 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Tung & Associates			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
838 W. Long Lake Road Suite 120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			3729	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	}-			
		09/588,788	HSU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Dexter Tugbang	3729				
Pariod f	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SH WHII - Exte afte	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of six (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the maximum statutor or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation.	CATION. reply be timely filed				
- Fail Any	ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	by statute, cause the application to become Al	BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,					
- 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>26 <i>June 2006</i></u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4-8 and 16-18</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
	Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,16-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		by the Examiner.				
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection	· · · ·	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	· ·	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		opplication No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
	application from the International		v				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	at(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	· —	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 26, 2006 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 4, 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In Claim 4, the phrase of "progressing in any direction" (lines 7-8) is new matter. The specification and drawings, as originally filed, do not provide support for the planar spiral conductor layer progressing in any direction. For example, "any direction" can include directions that are not planar, e.g. a vertical direction that is perpendicular to the substrate, and

Application/Control Number: 09/588,788

Art Unit: 3729

the specification and drawings do not provide support for the spiral conductor layer to progress in a vertical direction to form a loop, or forming a loop in a vertical direction.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 4, 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 4, the phrase of "progressing in any direction" can include directions that are not planar, which would completely contradict forming of a planar spiral conductor layer.

Therefore, the phrase is misleading and confusing, rendering the claim as being vague and indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 16 through 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haas 4,016,519.

Haas discloses a method for fabricating an inductor comprising: providing a substrate (e.g. 3 in Fig. 1); forming over the substrate a planar spiral conductor layer (e.g. 1) comprising a single spiral to form a planar spiral inductor, wherein a successive series of loops within the

planar spiral conductor layer is formed with a progressive and discontinuous variation progressing from a center of the spiral defined by a first loop to a periphery of the series of loops.

The successive series of loops forms a uniform ellipse and the series of loops forms progressive stepwise changes in line widths to form a series of discrete line widths for the successive series of loops (see Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim(s) 6, 16 and 18, the first loop of Haas defines the center of the spiral with a comparatively narrow line width and the final loop defines a perimeter with a comparatively wide line width where the progressive and discontinuous variation comprises progressively increasing stepwise changes (see col. 2, lines 38-40).

Regarding Claim(s) 8, Haas shows that the successive series of loops comprises a single spiral of 7 loops.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haas.

Haas discloses the claimed manufacturing method as relied upon above in Claim 1. Haas does not appear to mention that the planar spiral conductor layer is formed of a conductor material that is a non-magnetic metal. However, the examiner takes <u>Official Notice</u> that forming a planar spiral conductor layer is conventional, old, and notoriously well known in the art of

Application/Control Number: 09/588,788

Art Unit: 3729

forming inductors. As evidence of obviousness, the examiner cites Wollnik (U.S. Patent 4,187,485, col. 3, lines 30+) to show that a conductor material of a non-magnetic material (e.g. copper) can be used as the material for a spiral conductor layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of Haas by utilizing a conventional non-magnetic metal of copper, as taught by Wollnik, for the advantages of inducing a magnetic field during operation of the inductor.

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haas in view of Murphy 5,844,451 and Esper et al 4,613,843.

Haas discloses the claimed manufacturing method as relied upon above in Claim 1. Haas does not appear to mention that the comparatively narrow line width is from 7 to 10 microns and that the comparatively wide line width is from about 17 to 21 microns.

Murphy teaches that line widths can be formed to widths up to 25 microns (col. 3, lines 62-64).

Esper teaches that line widths can be formed to widths of at least 4 microns.

Murphy and Esper show that comparative line widths of a planar spiral conductor can be formed between 4 to 25 microns as the values in between this range would be inclusive of the claimed ranges of 7 to 10 microns and 17 to 21 microns.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method Haas by forming the planar spiral conductor layer to a comparatively narrow line width from 7 to 10 microns and a comparatively wide line

width from about 17 to 21 microns, as taught by Murphy and Esper, to perform the very same purpose of providing a planar spiral conductor layer to induce a magnetic field.

Alternatively, since Murphy and Esper teach upper and lower values for line widths of the planar spiral conductor layer, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the spiral conductor layer of Haas with a comparatively narrow line width from 7 to 10 microns and a comparatively wide line width from about 17 to 21 microns, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

## Response to Arguments

11. The applicant(s) arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 6 through 8 and 16 through 18 as filed in the response of May 24, 2006 have been considered, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/588,788 Page 7

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Dexter Tugbang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3729

August 7, 2006